

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope

Cosmology with Photometric Baryon Acoustic Oscillation Measurements

H. Zhan (UCD), L. Knox (UCD), and J.A. Tyson (UCD) and the LSST Baryon Oscillation Science Collaboration

LSST will obtain photometric redshifts for 4 billion galaxies with the distribution peaking around z = 1 and approximately 10% of the galaxies at z > 2.5. It will achieve percent level precision on the angular diameter distance at 11 redshifts logarithmically spaced between z = 0.3 to 3.6 with a CMB-calibrated standard ruler -- baryon acoustic oscillations (BAO) in the galaxy (and matter) power spectrum. By themselves, LSST BAO will provide weaker constraints on the dark energy equation of state parameters, w₀ and w_a, than LSST weak lensing (WL). However, because one can calibrate the error distribution of photometric redshifts with galaxy power spectra and determine the galaxy bias with galaxy and WL shear power spectra, a joint analysis of LSST BAO and WL will reduce the error ellipse area in the $w_0 - w_a$ plane to one sixth of that by LSST WL alone.

1. Baryon Acoustic Oscillations: A Standard Ruler

2. Angular Galaxy Power Spectrum

In the tightly coupled photon-plasma fluid prior to recombination, acoustic waves, supported by the photon pressure, create a characteristic scale – the sound horizon $R_{\rm s}$ in matter distribution. Afterward, the sound speed of the neutral gas practically drops to zero, and thus the imprint of $R_{\rm S}$ at recombination, e.g., BAO, is frozen (but still evolves gravitationally) in the matter and later galaxy correlation functions. The sound horizon at recombination can be determined accurately with CMB, so that BAO becomes a very promising standard ruler for measuring the angular diameter distance and Hubble parameter (e.g. Eisenstein, Hu, & Tegmark 1998, ApJ, 504, L57).



LSST Galaxy Power Spectrum



Owing to its deep photometry and wide survey area, LSST will be able to obtain billions of galaxies with photometric redshifts (photo-zs) over a huge survey volume. This sample will allow for accurate measurements of the BAO features in the angular galaxy power spectra and place useful constraints on cosmological parameters.

The kernel of the galaxy power spectrum is given by the true-redshift distribution of galaxies binned by their photo-zs, which can have considerable overlap with each other. Hence, one can measure not only the auto power spectrum in each photo-z bin but also the cross power spectrum between two different photo-z bins.



Left: Photo-z bins and the true-z distribution of galaxies. We assume that the photo-z bias $\delta z = 0$ and the photo-z rms $\sigma_z=0.05(1+z)$ per galaxy. *Right*. The galaxy auto power spectrum of bin *i* at $z \sim 1.07$ (solid line) and cross power spectrum between bin *i* and bin *j* (broken lines). The BAO features are prominent at *I* ~ several hundred. The grey area indicates the statistical error of the auto power spectrum at each multipole.

4. Distance Eigenmodes

The upper panel of the figure on the left

3. Calibrating the Photo-z Parameters and Galaxy Bias



Gravitational lensing, being not affected by the galaxy bias, can help constrain the galaxy bias. The left panel shows the fractional error on the galaxy bias parameters from BAO (with *Planck* data) and from joint BAO and WL (also with *Planck* data, Zhan 2006, JCAP, 08, 008). The thin dashed line represents the external prior on the galaxy bias parameters, which is weak compared to the constraints.

> Galaxy power spectra are sensitive to the photo-z parameters, so that they can be used to selfcalibrate the photo-z parameters. This is demonstrated for the photo-z bias (middle panel) and rms error (right panel).



shows the 3 best-determined distance modes from LSST WL and BAO, respectively. The WL modes are more concentrated at lower redshifts than the BAO modes, because WL measures the fluctuations at lower redshifts than the source galaxies and because the galaxy power spectra are truncated more at lower redshifts to reduce the impact of the nonlinear evolution. Although in general BAO places tighter constraints on individual D_A s than WL, the WL modes shown actually have smaller errors than the BAO modes.

The lower panel presents uncorrelated w_0 and $w_{a} [w = w_{0} + w_{a} z (1 + z)^{-1}]$ constraints from 9 D_{A} eigenmodes (in 3 groups) of each technique. Weak priors on cosmological parameters and $\sigma_{\rm P}(w_0) = \sigma_{\rm P}(w_a) = 1$ are applied. The linear

growth rate parameters and the galaxy bias parameters are marginalized. The solid contours include the contributions of all D_A modes. The BAO error ellipses are relatively narrow but in the same general direction, whereas the WL ones are oriented differently. As such, the effect of combining all the BAO modes is mostly in reducing the area of the error ellipse without much change in the marginalized errors of $w_0 \& w_a$.

5. Dark Energy Constraints

The constraints on w_0 and w_a from LSST BAO are weaker than those from LSST WL. However, a *joint* analysis of BAO and WL data benefits from the extra information in the galaxy—shear power spectra, the calibration of the linear galaxy bias, and the calibration of photo-z parameters by the galaxy power spectra



(Zhan 2006, astro-ph/0605696). It tightens the constraints considerably. This plot is for 10-year survey.

> LSST is a public-private partnership. Design and development activity is supported by in part the National Science Foundation under Scientific Program Order No. 9 (AST-0551161) and Scientific Program Order No. 1 (AST-0244680) through Cooperative Agreement AST-0132798. Portions of this work are supported by the Department of Energy under contract DE-AC02-76SF00515 with the Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, contract DE-AC02-98CH10886 with Brookhaven National Laboratory, and contract W-7405-ENG-48 with Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. Additional funding comes from private donations, grants to universities, and in-kind support at Department of Energy laboratories and other LSSTC Institutional Members.

> Brookhaven National Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Columbia University, Google, Inc., Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics, Johns Hopkins University, Kavli Institute for Particle Astrophysics and Cosmology - Stanford University, Las Cumbres Observatory Inc., Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, National Optical Astronomy Observatory, Princeton University, Purdue University, Research Corporation, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, The Pennsylvania State University, The University of Arizona, University of California at Davis, University of California at Irvine, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, University of Pennsylvania, University of Pittsburgh, University of Washington