

Large Synoptic Survey Telescope

www.lsst.org

Galaxy Evolution with LSST

Jennifer M. Lotz¹, H. C. Ferguson², L. Armus³, L. F. Barrientos⁴, J. G. Bartlett⁵, M. Blanton⁶, K. D. Borne⁷, C. R. Bridge⁸, M. Dickinson¹, H. Francke⁴, G. Galaz⁴, E. Gawiser⁹, K. Gilmore¹⁰, R. H. Lupton¹¹, J. A. Newman¹², N. D. Padilla⁴, B. E. Robertson⁸, R. Roskar¹³, A. Stanford¹⁴, R. H. Wechsler¹⁵;

¹NOAO, ²STScI, ³SSC, ⁴Pontificia Universidad Catolica de Chile, ⁵Universite Paris Diderot, ⁶NYU, ⁷George Mason Univ., ⁸CalTech, ⁹Rutgers Univ., ¹⁰SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, ¹¹Princeton Univ., ¹²Univ. of Pittsburgh, ¹³Univ. of Washington, ¹⁴UC Davis, ¹⁵Stanford Univ.

The key goal of the LSST Galaxies Working Group is to measure the multivariate properties of the galaxy population including trends with redshift and environment. This includes observed galaxy properties (luminosities, colors, sizes, and morphologies) as well as derived galaxy properties (stellar masses, ages, and star formation rates) and how the joint distribution of these galaxy properties depends on redshift and environment as measured on a wide range of scales. Galaxy formation is inherently stochastic, but is fundamentally governed by the statistical properties of the underlying dark-matter density field. Determining how the evolving multivariate galaxy properties and scaling relations depend on this density field, and on the distribution and evolution of dark matter halos, will connect the results of large surveys to theoretical models of structure formation and galaxy formation.

Galaxy Statistics: LSST Volume, Limits, Numbers

Galaxies and their Dark Matter Halos

full-depth LSST 5σ pt. source detection limits u ~26.3, g ~27.5, r ~27.7, i ~27.0, z ~26.2, y ~24.9 AB

- >10¹⁰ galaxies detected to $z \sim 6$
- >10⁹ galaxies detected at z > 2
- >10⁷ galaxies detected at z > 4.5
- structural measurements and *ugrizy* photometry for 4×10^9 galaxies at z < 1.5
- dwarf galaxies detected to 4 Mpc at M_V ~ −4; to 128 Mpc at M_V ~ −14
- L* galaxies (M_B ~ −21) detected to z~5 over 10¹² Mpc³ co-moving volume (Fig. 1)
- 'deep drilling fields' will be ~10x deeper than standard LSST over ~10 LSST pointings with 5σ pt. source limits *ugriz* ~28.0, *y* ~26.8





each survey can detect an L* galaxy ($M_B \sim -21$) assuming a typical Lyman break galaxy spectrum. LSST encompasses ~ 2 orders of magnitude more volume than current or other near-future surveys.





Measuring the spatial clustering of dark matter halos hosting galaxies over wide range of cosmic time will allow us to trace the evolution of galaxy populations from one epoch to another by identifying their progentior/descendent relationships.

Fig. 3. LSST will greatly improve measurements of the bright end of the $z\sim6$ LBG luminosity function. The clustering of these rare objects will constrain the mass of their halos, and their association with $z\sim6$ quasars.

Fig. 4. Evolution of galaxy bias v. redshift for bluest 1%, reddest 1%, and median 1% of simulated LSST galaxy sample (blue, red, green points). Bias will be estimated from the angular two-point auto-correlation function $\omega(\theta)$, and higher-order correlation statistics. Typical z~6 galaxies become massive z~2 galaxies, while blue z~6 galaxies become typical z~2 galaxies. The dashed lines are evolutionary tracks predicted by Sheth-Tormen conditional mass function. Black points are literature estimates of galaxy bias: z~0 galaxies from Zehavi+ 2005; rich cluster galaxies from Bahcall +2003; z~1 galaxies from Coil+ 2008 (C08); z~2 galaxies from Adelberger+ 2005a, 2005b (A05a, A05b); z~3 LBGs from Francke+ 2008 (F08), Lee+ 2006 (L06); z>4 LBGs from Ouchi+ 2004 (O04).

Redshift Calibration with Tidal Pairs

How can we test LSST photometric redshifts without spectroscopy?

Wavelength (microns)

Wavelength (microns)

Fig. 2. Left: The spectrum of a fiducial red galaxy as function of redshift [Maraston 2005, Salpter IMF, Z_{\odot} , $z_{form}=10$, $\tau_{SF}=0.1$ Gyr, $M_B=-20.5$ at z=0]. *Right:* The spectrum of a fiducial Lyman break galaxy as function of redshift [Bruzual & Charlot 2003, Salpter IMF, Z_{\odot} , age=0.2 Gyr, constant SFR, Calzetti+ 2001 dust with $E_{(B-V)}=0.14$, normalized to L* at each redshift]. Magnitude limits are shown in optical for LSST (blue triangles), NIR for VISTA (red triangles), and mid-IR for WISE/Spitzer (yellow triangles). The top of the triangles show typical depth of wide surveys with areas $\geq 20,000 \text{ deg}^2$ (LSST; VISTA Hemisphere Survey; WISE all-sky survey). The bottom of the triangles show depth expected for deeper surveys with areas \sim tens of square degrees (LSST deep drilling fields; VISTA VIDEO survey; Spitzer SWIRE).

Galaxy Sizes, Structures, and Mergers

 PSF ≤ 0.7" will allow galaxy size, bulge/disk ratio measurement at z < 0.6

• $\mu_{\text{limit}} \sim 27 \text{ mag per sq. arcsec in } riz; will$

detect mergers with tidal features at z < 1

>10⁸ tidal tail mergers, >10⁶ 'dry' mergers;
will measure sizes, structure and merger
rate vs. redshift, luminosity, color,
environment.



Fig. 5. A simulated *r-i-z* LSST image of a $z\sim1$ gas-rich equal mass disk merger (Lotz+ 2008). At t = 0.6 Gyr and 1.7 Gyr, strong blue distortions are visible on scales of a few arc-seconds. After the first pass (t = 1 Gyr), tidal tails are detectable at *i* < 27 mag per sq. arcsec. Faint shells, tidal features, and blue tidal dwarfs will be apparent at full LSST depth for up to a Gyr after the final merger (t = 2.3-2.8 Gyr).

January 2010



References: Adelberger, K. L., et al. 2005a, ApJL, 620, L75; Adelberger, K. L., et al. 2005b, ApJ, 619, 697; Bahcall, N. A., et al. Close galaxy pairs with strong tidal features and no other companions have a high likelihood of physical association;

 $\Rightarrow \Delta z_{phot}$ of each pair is a measure of redshift precision (Quadri+ 2009)

- + Biased towards challenging z_{phot} galaxies (star-forming, blue, dusty)
- + Tails detectable in full-depth LSST images out to z~1
- + Hundreds of pairs per LSST pointing
- Careful selection required to exclude false pairs
- Blending of very close pairs may give bad photometry, z_{phot}



Fig. 6. 69 isolated tidal pairs (138 galaxies) at z<1 were selected from CFHTLS[‡] Deep *i* images (Gwyn 2009) and matched to public z_{phot} (Ilbert+ 2006, 2009) and z_{spec} (Davis+ 2003, Lilly+2007) catalogs. Left: $Z_s v. Z_p$ for Tidal Galaxies. 81/138 have spectroscopic redshifts; $\sigma[(z_p-z_s)/z_s)] = 0.048$, and catastrophic error rate $\eta = 11\%$ (where $|(z_p-z_s)/z_s| \ge 0.1$). Right: $Z_{p1} v. Z_{p2}$ for Tidal Pairs. The distribution of $(z_{p1}-z_{p2})/(z_{p1}+z_{p2})$ for all 69 tidal pairs (red), and for 27 spectroscopically-confirmed tidal pairs (blue). $\sigma[(z_{p1}-z_{p2})/(z_{p1}+z_{p2})] \sim \sigma[(z_p-z_s)/z_s)] \sim 0.05$, and is similar for both spectroscopically-confirmed pairs and full sample at 0.1 and -0.1. However, η is higher (22%) for full sample due to false pair contamination.

2003, ApJ, 599, 814; Bouwens, R. J. et al. 2004, ApJL, 606, L25; Bouwens, R. J., et al. 2006, ApJ, 653, 53; Bruzual, G., & Charlot, S., 2003, MNRAS, 344, 1000; Bunker, A. J., et al. 2004, MNRAS, 355, 374; Calzetti, D., et al. 2000, ApJ, 533, 682; Coil, A. L. et al. 2008, ApJ, 672, 153; Davis, M. et al. 2003, SPIE, 4834, 161; Dickinson, M. et al. 2004, ApJL, 600, L99; Francke, H. et al. 2008, ApJL, 673, L13; Gwyn, S., 2009, http://www2.cadc-ccda.hia-iha.nrccnrc.gc.ca/community/CFHTLS-SG/docs/cfhtls.html; Ilbert, O. et al. 2006, A&A, 457, 841; Ilbert, O. et al. 2009, ApJ, 690, 1236; Lee, K.-S., et al. 2006, ApJ, 642, 63; Lilly, S. et al. 2007, ApJS, 172, 70; Lotz, J. M., et al. 2008, MNRAS, 391, 1137; Malhotra, S. et al. 2005, ApJ, 626, 666; Maraston, C., 2005, MNRAS, 362, 799; Ouchi, M. et al. 2004, ApJ, 611, 685; Quadri, R. & Williams, R. 2009, arXiv:0910.2704; Sheth, R. K., & Tormen, G., 1999, MNRAS, 308, 119; Yan, H., & Windhorst, R. A., 2004, ApJL, 612, L93; Zehavi, I. et al. 2005, ApJ, 630, 1

* Based on observations obtained with MegaPrime/MegaCam, a joint project of CFHT and CEA/DAPNIA, at the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope (CFHT) which is operated by the National Research Council (NRC) of Canada, the Institut National des Science de l'Univers of the Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) of France, and the University of Hawaii. This work is based in part on data products produced at the Canadian Astronomy Data Centre as part of the Canada-France-Hawaii Telescope Legacy Survey, a collaborative project of NRC and CNRS.

LSST is a public-private partnership. Design and development activity is supported in part by the National Science Foundation. Additional funding comes from private gifts, grants to universities, and in-kind support at Department of Energy laboratories and other LSSTC Institutional Members.